STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

JUN 29 2012

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT OF THY SURVANCE BY

In the Matter of:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Docket No. 12A-065-INS

HALLMARK INSURANCE COMPANY,

NAIC # 34037.

Respondent.

CONSENT ORDER

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a target market conduct examination of Hallmark Insurance Company ("HIC"). In the Report of Target Market Conduct Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Hallmark Insurance Company, the examiners allege that HIC violated A.R.S. §§20-385, 20-461, 20-466.03, 20-1632, 20-2106, 20-2110 and A.A.C. R20-6-801.

Hallmark Insurance Company wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, admits that the following Findings of Fact are true, and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Hallmark Insurance Company is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Director authorized the examiners to conduct a target market conduct examination of Hallmark Insurance Company. The examination covered the time period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 and concluded on March 6, 2012. Based on their findings, the examiners prepared the "Report of Target Market Conduct Examination of Hallmark Insurance Company" dated December 31, 2010.
- 3. The examiners reviewed 50 of 615 private passenger automobile cancellations and the only private passenger automobile non-renewal, either cancelled or non-renewed due to an adverse underwriting decision during the time frame of the

24 25

examination and found that HIC failed to provide a compliant Summary of Rights to all 51 policyholders.

- 4. The examiners reviewed 14 of 3,279 private passenger automobile policies surcharged due to an adverse underwriting decision during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to provide a compliant Summary of Rights to all 14 policyholders.
- 5. The examiners reviewed 62 of 50,989 new, renewal and surcharged policies issued during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to accurately document and apply filed surcharges to determine premium for 5 surcharged policies.
- 6. The examiners found the underwriting authorization disclosure included within the Company's private passenger automobile application used during the time frame of the examination, failed to specify that the authorization remains valid for no longer than one year from the date the authorization is signed and failed to advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form.
- 7. The examiners found two claim authorization disclosure forms used during the time frame of the examination that failed to specify the types of persons authorized to disclose information about the individual, that the authorization remains valid for no longer than the duration of the claim and advise the individual or a person authorized to act on behalf of the individual that they are entitled to receive a copy of the authorization form. (see Exhibit A)
- 8. The examiners reviewed 50 of 615 private passenger automobile policies cancelled for underwriting reasons during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to include the unearned premium refund with the policy cancellation

notice to all 50 policyholders.

- 9. The examiners reviewed 50 of 615 private passenger automobile policies cancelled due to an adverse underwriting decision during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to mail cancellation notice at least 10 days before the effective date to 3 policyholders.
- 10. The examiners found 9 claim forms used by the Company during the time frame of the examination that failed to contain a compliant fraud warning notice or failed to provide the notice in at least 12-point type. (see Exhibit B)
- 11. The examiners reviewed 62 of 62 private passenger automobile total loss claims processed by the Company during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to correctly calculate and fully pay sales tax, license registration and/or air quality fees payable in the settlement of all 62 total losses.
- 12. The examiners reviewed 5 of 5 owner retained salvage total loss settlements processed by the company during the time frame of the examination and found that HIC failed to document the salvage allowances taken on 2 total loss settlements.
- 13. The examiners reviewed 50 of 575 private passenger automobile claims closed without payment during the time frame of the examination and found that the Company failed to provide 8 claimants with a written claim denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-2110 by failing to send a compliant Summary of Rights to policyholders cancelled or non-renewed due to an adverse underwriting decision.
 - 2. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-2110 by failing to send a compliant Summary of

Rights to policyholders surcharged due to an adverse underwriting action.

- 3. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-385 by failing to accurately document and apply filed surcharges to determine policy premium.
- 4. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-2106(7)(b) and (9) by using underwriting authorization forms that failed to contain a compliant *Authorization for the Release of Information*.
- 5. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-2106(3), (8)(b) and (9) by using claim authorization forms that failed to contain a compliant *Authorization for the Release of Information*.
- 6. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-1632(A)(3) by failing to include the unearned premium refund with the policy cancellation notice.
- 7. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-1632(A) by failing to mail cancellation notices at least 10 days before the effective date of the cancellation.
- 8. HIC violated A.R.S. §20-466.03 by using claim forms that failed to contain a compliant fraud warning notice.
- 9. HIC violated A.R.S §20-461(A)(6) and A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(1)(b) by failing to correctly calculate and fully pay sales tax, license registration and/or air quality fees payable in the settlement of total losses.
- 10. HIC violated A.R.S §20-461(A)(6) and A.A.C. R20-6-801(C) by failing to adequately document salvage allowances on total loss settlements.
- 11. HIC violated A.R.S §20-461(A)(5) and A.A.C. R20-6-801(G)(1)(a) by failing to provide claimants with a written claim denial.
- 12. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order in accordance with A.R.S. §§20-220 and 20-456 and 20-2117.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Hallmark Insurance Company shall:
- a. provide policyholders a compliant Summary of Rights if their policy is cancelled or non-renewed as the result of an adverse underwriting decision.
- b. provide policyholders a compliant Summary of Rights if their policy is surcharged as the result of an adverse underwriting decision.
- c. accurately document and apply filed surcharges to determine policy premium.
- d. use applications that include underwriting authorization disclosure forms that contain a compliant Authorization for the Release of Information.
- e. use claim authorization disclosure forms that contain a compliant Authorization for the Release of Information.
- f. include the unearned premium refund with the policy cancellation notice.
- g. mail cancellation notices at least 10 days before the effective date of the cancellation.
 - h. use claim forms that contain a compliant fraud warning notice.
- i. correctly calculate and fully pay sales tax, license registration and/or other fees payable in the settlement of total losses.
 - adequately document salvage allowances on total loss settlements.
 - k. provide claimants with a written claim denial.
- 2. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, Hallmark Insurance Company shall submit to the Arizona Department of Insurance, for approval, evidence that HIC implemented corrections and communicated these corrections to the

appropriate personnel, regarding the issues outlined in Paragraph 1 of the Order section of this Consent Order. Evidence of corrective action and communication thereof includes, but is not limited to, memos, bulletins, E-mails, correspondence, procedures manuals, print screens, and training materials.

- 3. The Department shall, through authorized representatives, verify that HIC has complied with all provisions of this Order.
- 4. Hallmark Insurance Company shall pay a civil penalty of \$27,500.00 to the Director for remission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §20-220(B). HIC shall submit the civil penalty to the Market Oversight Division of the Department prior to the filing of this Order.
- 5. The Report of Target Market Examination of Hallmark Insurance Company of December 31, 2010, including the letter with their objections to the Report of Examination, shall be filed with the Department upon the filing of this Order.

 DATED at Arizona this 29th day of June 1, 2012.

Christina Urias

Director of Insurance

CONSENT TO ORDER

1. Hallmark Insurance Company has reviewed the foregoing Order.

- 2. Hallmark Insurance Company admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, admits the foregoing Findings of Fact, and consents to the entry of the Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Hallmark Insurance Company is aware of the right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Hallmark Insurance Company irrevocably waives the right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Hallmark Insurance Company states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Hallmark Insurance Company acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance is solely for the purpose of settling this matter and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from instituting proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.

6. KEVIN	T. KASITZ	_, who	holds	the	office	of
PRESIDENT	of Hallmark Insurance Co	mpany,	is auth	orize	d to er	ıter
into this Order for them and	on their behalf.					

HALLMARK INSURANCE COMPANY

6-25-12	Bu Kan T. K.	
003/2	By 1 Jum 1. 1 a	
Date		

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered
2	this 29th day of June , 2012, to:
3	Gerrie Marks
4	Deputy Director Mary Butterfield
5	Assistant Director Consumer Affairs Division
6	Helene I. Tomme Market Examinations Supervisor
7	Market Oversight Division Dean Ehler
8	Assistant Director
9	Property and Casualty Division Kurt Regner
10	Assistant Director Financial Affairs Division
11	David Lee Chief Financial Examiner
12	Alexandra Shafer
13	Assistant Director Life and Health Division
14	Chuck Gregory Special Agent Supervisor
15	Investigations Division
16	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
17	2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210
	Phoenix, AZ 85018
18	
19	Cecil R. Wise
20	General Counsel Hallmark Financial Services, Inc.
21	777 Main Street, Suite1000 Fort Worth, Texas 76102
22	TOTE VVOILIT, TEXAS 70 TOZ
23	
24	
25	usney Suston

-8-

EXHIBIT A

Claim Authorization Disclosure

These forms fail to comply with A.R.S. § 20-2106(3), (8)(b) and (9).

The following table summarizes these application form findings.

Form Description / Title	Form #	Statute Provision
Medical Authorization Form - HIPPA	None	3, 8(b) and 9
Wage Authorization Form	None	3, 8(b) and 9

EXHIBIT B

Fraud Warning Statement – The Company failed to provide a fraud warning statement on two (2) claim forms and failed to provide the statement in at least 12-point type on seven (7) claim forms. These represent nine (9) violations of A.R.S. § 20-466.03.

The following table summarizes the fraud warning statement findings.

	Form Title / Description	Form #	Reason
1	Medical Authorization-HIPPA	None	No Fraud Warning
2	Release and Indemnity Agreement	None	Less than 12 Point Type
3	Cooperation Recorded Statement	None	Less than 12 Point Type
4	Ack Letter of Atty. Representation	None	Less than 12 Point Type
5	Lost Wages to Employer	None	Less than 12 Point Type
6	Settlement Letter with Release	None	Less than 12 Point Type
7	Pre-Suit Letter	None	Less than 12 Point Type
8	Request for Medical Authorization	None	Less than 12 Point Type
9	General Release and Indemnification	None	No Fraud Warning